UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,400	06/30/2003	Mats Lidstrom	013628.00498 (02CXT0077D)	1900
	7590 05/21/200 LKER (CONEXANT)		EXAMINER	
901 MAIN STR	REET, SUITE 6000		VO, DON NGUYEN	
DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,400	LIDSTROM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Don N. Vo	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periot - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second state of the second sec	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 03/21/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young (US 6,011,950; newly cited art).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 and 24, Young, as shown in figures 1-3, teaches a method and apparatus for transcoding (converting) a received first digital signal with the first modulation and encoding scheme (Fig. 1: 13; Fig. 2: 45; Fig 3: 61) to a second digital signal with a second modulation and encoding scheme comprising demodulator and decoder (Fig. 1: 15 & 17; Fig.2: 46 & 48; Fig.3: 62 & 64), modulator and encoder (Fig. 1: 19 & 21; Fig.2: 54 & 56; Fig.3: 77 & 79). See also column 2, line 50 to column 3, line 13 and column 6, line 31 to column 7, line 19. It is noted that Young does not explicitly teach the upconverter. However, it is inherently known that the QAM modulator includes an upconverter for upconverting and modulating the QAM signal. For example, see previously cited reference Myers (US 6,771,710).

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2-8, 12-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US 6,011,950) in view of Myers (US 6,771,710; art of record).

Regarding claims 2-8, 12-18 and 22, Young teaches all subject matter claimed except for the further details of the upconverter comprising upsampler, mixers and combiner. However, Myers, from the same field of endeavor and as shown in figures 1 and 6, teaches an upconverter comprising upsampler (32), mixers (34, 36, 40, 42) and combiner (46) which can be implemented using gate array to improve the fidelity and high speed operation. See Myers: column 2, line

Art Unit: 2611

44 to column 4, line 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Young by implementing the upconverter (82) using the arrangement of upsampler, mixers and combiner as taught by Myers so that high fidelity and high speed operation can be achieved.

7. Claims 9, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (US 6,011,950).

Regarding claims 9, 19 and 23, Young teaches all subject matter claimed except for specifying the first modulation and encoding scheme is 8-PSK Turbo Coding. Young discloses QPSK or QAM and Viterbi coding instead. See Young: column 2, lines 50-67. However, such 8-PSK modulation and Turbo coding is well known in the art of digital communications at the time of invention and therefor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Young by employing the 8-PSK modulation and Turbo coding since it is just an alternative way of modulating and coding the data.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Lou (US 7,002,898) is cited because they are pertinent to the method and apparatus for transcoding the digital signal.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don N. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:00AM - 6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MOHAMMAD GHAYOUR can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Don N. Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611